

# Financial Risk Management

## Tutorial Class — Session 3

Professor: Thierry Roncalli

Instructor: Amina Cherief

2021-2022

### 3 Counterparty Credit Risk and Collateral Risk

#### 3.1 Impact of netting agreements in counterparty credit risk

The table below gives the current mark-to-market of 7 OTC contracts between Bank *A* and Bank *B*:

	Equity			Fixed income		FX	
	$\mathcal{C}_1$	$\mathcal{C}_2$	$\mathcal{C}_3$	$\mathcal{C}_4$	$\mathcal{C}_5$	$\mathcal{C}_6$	$\mathcal{C}_7$
<i>A</i>	+10	-5	+6	+17	-5	-5	+1
<i>B</i>	-11	+6	-3	-12	+9	+5	+1

The table should be read as follows: Bank *A* has a mark-to-market equal to 10 for the contract  $\mathcal{C}_1$  whereas Bank *B* has a mark-to-market equal to  $-11$  for the same contract, Bank *A* has a mark-to-market equal to  $-5$  for the contract  $\mathcal{C}_2$  whereas Bank *B* has a mark-to-market equal to  $+6$  for the same contract, etc.

- (a) Explain why there are differences between the MtM values of a same OTC contract.  
(b) Calculate the exposure at default of Bank *A*.  
(c) Same question if there is a global netting agreement.  
(d) Same question if the netting agreement only concerns equity products.
- In the following, we measure the impact of netting agreements on the exposure at default.
  - We consider a first OTC contract  $\mathcal{C}_1$  between Bank *A* and Bank *B*. The mark-to-market  $\text{MtM}_1(t)$  of Bank *A* for the contract  $\mathcal{C}_1$  is defined as follows:

$$\text{MtM}_1(t) = x_1 + \sigma_1 W_1(t)$$

where  $W_1(t)$  is a Brownian motion. Calculate the potential future exposure of Bank *A*.

- We consider a second OTC contract between Bank *A* and Bank *B*. The mark-to-market is also given by the following expression:

$$\text{MtM}_2(t) = x_2 + \sigma_2 W_2(t)$$

where  $W_2(t)$  is a second Brownian motion that is correlated with  $W_1(t)$ . Let  $\rho$  be this correlation such that  $\mathbb{E}[W_1(t)W_2(t)] = \rho t$ . Calculate the expected exposure of bank *A* if there is no netting agreement.

- Same question when there is a global netting agreement between Bank *A* and Bank *B*.
- Comment on these results.

### 3.2 Calculation of the capital charge for counterparty credit risk

We denote by  $e(t)$  the potential future exposure of an OTC contract with maturity  $T$ . The current date is set to  $t = 0$ . Let  $N$  and  $\sigma$  be the notional and the volatility of the underlying contract. We assume that  $e(t) = N\sigma\sqrt{t}X$  with  $0 \leq X \leq 1$ ,  $\Pr\{X \leq x\} = x^\gamma$  and  $\gamma > 0$ .

1. Calculate the peak exposure  $PE_\alpha(t)$ , the expected exposure  $EE(t)$  and the effective expected positive exposure  $EEPE(0; t)$ .
2. The bank manages the credit risk with the foundation IRB approach and the counterparty credit risk with an internal model. We consider an OTC contract with the following parameters:  $N$  is equal to \$3 mn, the maturity  $T$  is one year, the volatility  $\sigma$  is set to 20% and  $\gamma$  is estimated at 2.
  - (a) Calculate the exposure at default EAD knowing that the bank uses the regulatory value for the parameter  $\alpha$ .
  - (b) The default probability of the counterparty is estimated at 1%. Calculate then the capital charge for counterparty credit risk of this OTC contract<sup>1</sup>.

## 4 Operational Risk

### 4.1 Estimation of the loss severity distribution

We consider a sample of  $n$  individual losses  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . We assume that they can be described by different probability distributions:

- (i)  $X$  follows a log-normal distribution  $\mathcal{LN}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .
- (ii)  $X$  follows a Pareto distribution  $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, x_-)$  defined by:

$$\Pr\{X \leq x\} = 1 - \left(\frac{x}{x_-}\right)^{-\alpha}$$

with  $x \geq x_-$  and  $\alpha > 0$ .

- (iii)  $X$  follows a gamma distribution  $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$  defined by:

$$\Pr\{X \leq x\} = \int_0^x \frac{\beta^\alpha t^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta t}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} dt$$

with  $x \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ .

1. We consider the case (i).
  - (a) Show that the probability density function is:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\ln x - \mu}{\sigma}\right)^2\right)$$

- (b) Calculate the two first moments of  $X$ . Deduce the orthogonal conditions of the generalized method of moments.
- (c) Find the maximum likelihood estimators  $\hat{\mu}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}$ .

2. We consider the case (ii).

---

<sup>1</sup>We will take a value of 70% for the LGD parameter and a value of 20% for the default correlation. We can also use the approximations  $-1.06 \approx -1$  and  $\Phi(-1) \approx 16\%$ .

- (a) Calculate the two first moments of  $X$ . Deduce the GMM conditions for estimating the parameter  $\alpha$ .
  - (b) Find the maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\alpha}$ .
3. We consider the case *(iii)*. Write the log-likelihood function associated to the sample of individual losses  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Deduce the first-order conditions of the maximum likelihood estimators  $\hat{\alpha}$  and  $\hat{\beta}$ .
4. We now assume that the losses  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  have been collected beyond a threshold  $H$  meaning that  $X \geq H$ .
- (a) What becomes the generalized method of moments in the case *(i)*.
  - (b) Calculate the maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\alpha}$  in the case *(ii)*.
  - (c) Write the log-likelihood function in the case *(iii)*.